UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

ROBERT J. HANFLING, CHAPTER 11)
TRUSTEE FOR ATG, INC. AND ATG)
CATALYTICS LLC)
Plaintiffs,)
r iaintiiis,	,
v.) C.A. No. 05-10077-RGS
)
EPSTEIN BECKER & GREEN, P.C.)
JOHN PRESTON, CHRISTOPHER NAGEL,)
EUGENE BERMAN, ETHAN JACKS,)
QUANTUM CATALYTICS LLC, ABC)
CORPS 1 through 5 and JOHN DOES)
1 through 5,)
)
Defendants.)

DEFENDANT CHRISTOPHER NAGEL'S ANSWER TO THE COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Defendant Christopher Nagel answers the allegations contained in the numbered paragraphs of the Complaint of plaintiff Robert J. Hanfling, Chapter 11 Trustee for ATG, Inc. and ATG Catalytics LLC ("Plaintiffs") as follows:

 No answer is required to the allegations contained in paragraph 1 because they purport to state conclusions of law. To the extent a response is required, Nagel denies the allegations.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. No answer is required to the allegations contained in paragraph 2 because they purport to state conclusions of law. To the extent a response is required, Nagel denies the allegations.

- 3. No answer is required to the allegations contained in paragraph 3 because they purport to state conclusions of law. To the extent a response is required, Nagel denies the allegations.
- 4. No answer is required to the allegations contained in paragraph 4 because they purport to state conclusions of law. To the extent a response is required, Nagel denies the allegations.

NATURE OF THIS ACTION

5. No answer is required to the allegations contained in paragraph 5 because they purport to state conclusions of law. To the extent a response is required, Nagel denies the allegations.

PARTIES

- 6. Nagel lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 7. Nagel lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 8. Nagel lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 9. Nagel lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- **10**. Nagel admits that he is an individual and former officer and member of the board of directors of MMT. Except as expressly admitted, Nagel denies the allegations contained in this paragraph.

- 11. Nagel lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 12. Nagel lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 13. Nagel admits that Quantum is a Delaware LLC but is no longer a defendant in this action.
- 14. Nagel lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph and on that basis denies them.
- 15. Nagel lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph and on that basis denies them.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 16. Nagel lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph and on that basis denies them.
- 17. Nagel lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph and on that basis denies them.
- 18. Nagel lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph and on that basis denies them.
- 19. Nagel lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph and on that basis denies them.

MMT AND Q-CEP

20. Nagel admits, on information and belief, that MMT had a principal place of business in Waltham, Massachusetts and that it and its affiliates filed petitions for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code on December 3, 1997. Nagel also admits, on information

and belief, that Stephen S. Gray was appointed Chapter 11 trustee for MMT in or about August 1998. Except as expressly admitted, Nagel denies the allegations contained in this paragraph.

- 21. Nagel admits, on information and belief, that prior to filing for bankruptcy in 1997, MMT was engaged in the business of hazardous waste disposal. Nagel further admits that MMT and/or its affiliate operated a facility in Oak Ridge, Tennessee and that this facility utilized a form of a proprietary catalytic extraction processing technology referred to as Q-CEP or Quantum-CEP. Except as expressly admitted, Nagel denies the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 22. Nagel lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a judgment as to what constitutes "significant" resources, however, admits that, on information and belief, MMT invested resources into the development of the CEP technology. Except as expressly admitted, Nagel denies the allegations contained in this paragraph.
 - 23. Nagel denies the allegations contained in this paragraph.
 - 24. Nagel denies the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 25. Nagel lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph as a result of the lack of specificity as to the term "MMT Litigation."
- 26. Nagel admits that, on information and belief, he received a letter from the law firm Dwyer & Collora LLP on behalf of Garnet Earl McConchie dated on or about March 25, 1998. The remaining allegations contained in paragraph 26 purport to characterize that document, which speaks for itself, and Nagel refers to that document for its content.

-4-

- 27. Nagel lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph as a result of the lack of specificity as to the term "MMT Litigation."
- 28. Nagel lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph as a result of the lack of specificity as to the term "MMT Litigation."

ATG'S PURCHASE OF Q-CEP

- 29. Nagel admits that he resigned as an officer and member of the Board of Directors and/or the Board of Directors was dissolved. Except as expressly admitted, Nagel denies the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- **30**. Nagel lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph and on that basis denies them.
- 31. Nagel admits that he and Preston, on behalf of Quantum Catalytics, sought to acquire certain assets of MMT. To the extent the allegations are directed to other defendants, Nagel lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph and on that basis denies them.
 - 32. Nagel denies the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 33. Nagel lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph as a result of the lack of specificity as to the term "certain representations."
 - **34**. Nagel denies the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 35. In regard to the allegations set forth in the first sentence of paragraph 35, Nagel denies the allegations. In regard to the allegations set forth in the second sentence of

paragraph 35, Nagel lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations.

- 36. Nagel denies the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 37. Nagel admits that Quantum and ATG submitted a joint bid proposal for MMT's Wet Waste Business and CEP Assets dated on or about November 13, 1998 (the "ATG/Quantum Bid"), whereby ATG would acquire MMT's Wet Waste Business and Quantum would acquire certain of MMT's CEP Assets. Nagel further admits that the MMT Trustee accepted the ATG/Quantum Bid sometime thereafter. Further answering, Nagel states that the final Order was amended by letter agreement dated December 1, 1998. Except as expressly admitted, Nagel denies the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 38. Nagel admits that the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Massachusetts entered an order approving the sale of CEP technology and the Bear Creek Q-CEP facility pursuant to the ATG/Quantum Bid, which was amended per letter agreement dated December 1, 1998. Except as expressly admitted, Nagel denies the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 39. On information and belief, Nagel admits that ATG retained EBG to represent it in the documentation and the acquisition of the MMT assets, including certain of the Q-CEP assets, from the MMT Trustee. Except as expressly admitted, Nagel denies the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 40. Nagel admits that ATG Catalytics LLC only acquired certain of the Q-CEP Assets, including the Bear Creek Facility, pursuant to the Order entered by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Massachusetts, as amended by letter agreement dated December 1, 1998. Nagel further admits that ATG Inc. owned 90% of ATG Catalytics LLC

Case 1:05-cv-10077-RGS

and Quantum Catalytics LLC owned 10% of ATG Catalytics LLC at the time ATG Catalytics LLC acquired the Bear Creek Facility. Except as expressly admitted, Nagel denies the allegations contained in this paragraph.

- 41. Nagel lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of allegations contained in paragraph 41.
 - **42**. Nagel denies the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- **43**. Nagel admits that EBG represented him in or around September of 1997 in connection with his testimony at hearings before the United States House of Representatives and, starting in or around December 1998, represented him in connection with a shareholder class action. With respect to the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph, Nagel lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

Count I

- 44. Nagel incorporates his responses to the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 43 above as though set forth fully herein.
- 45-50. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 45-50 are directed to another defendant, and are not made against Nagel, and therefore do not require a response from Nagel.

Count II

- 51. Nagel incorporates his responses to the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 50 above as though set forth fully herein.
- 52-58. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 52-58 have been dismissed and therefore do not require a response from Nagel.

Count III

- 59. Nagel incorporates his responses to the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 58 above as though set forth fully herein.
- 60. To the extent the allegations set forth in Paragraph 60 are directed to Nagel, Nagel denies the allegations.
- 61. To the extent the allegations set forth in Paragraph 61 are directed to Nagel, Nagel denies the allegations.
- 62. To the extent the allegations set forth in Paragraph 62 are directed to Nagel, Nagel denies the allegations.
- 63. To the extent the allegations set forth in Paragraph 63 are directed to Nagel, Nagel denies the allegations.
- 64. To the extent the allegations set forth in Paragraph 64 are directed to Nagel, Nagel denies the allegations.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

First Affirmative Defense

Plaintiffs have failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

Second Affirmative Defense

Plaintiffs' claims against Nagel are barred by the applicable statutes of limitations.

Third Affirmative Defense

Plaintiffs' claims against Nagel are barred by the doctrines of waiver, estoppel or laches.

Fourth Affirmative Defense

Plaintiffs have unclean hands.

Fifth Affirmative Defense

Plaintiffs' claims as against Nagel are barred because Nagel had no duty to Plaintiffs.

Sixth Affirmative Defense

Plaintiffs assumed the risk of the conduct, events and matters alleged in the Complaint and therefore are not entitled to damages, if any, by the risks Plaintiffs assumed.

Seventh Affirmative Defense

Plaintiffs' damages, if any, are due to their own negligence.

Eighth Affirmative Defense

Plaintiffs failed to mitigate damages, if any, and are therefore barred from recovery.

DEFENDANT CHRISTOPHER NAGEL Dated: November 7, 2005 By his attorneys,

> _/s/ Nancy E. Maroney Joseph S. Ayoub, Jr. (BBO #024900) **Nancy E. Maroney (BBO# 652324) NUTTER MCCLENNEN & FISH, LLP 155 Seaport Boulevard** Boston, MA 02210 T. (617) 439-2000

F. (617) 310-9000

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the above document was served by U.S. mail upon the attorney of record for each party.

/s/ Nancy E. Maroney Nancy E. Maroney

Dated: November 7, 2005

1477196.1